Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/529,000	SATO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. JANICE LI	1633
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Q. JANICE LI, PTO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark Neull, Applicant's Rep.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims 6, 7, 19, 26 embrace proteins and peptides having unlimited length of amino acid sequences, would face art rejection.		
Claims discussed: All pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Q. JANICE LI/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that Claims 6, 7, 19, 26 embrace proteins and peptides having unlimited length of amino acid sequences, would face art rejection. The examiner propose to amend the claims to recite "consisting essentially of" to obviate art rejection.

Upon allowance of product claims, pending method claims commensurate in scope with the allowed claims would be rejoined.

The applicant agreed to make the change by an Examiner's amendment.